1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

29

30

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 19-20 and 23-45 are pending in the application, Claims 1-18 and 21-22 having been canceled in response to a Restriction Requirement, and new Claims 23-45 having been added herein that correspond to the elected invention.

Telephone Restriction & Election Without Traverse

On September 24, 2007, the Examiner contacted applicants' attorney to issue a Telephone Restriction. The Examiner indicated that the claims as filed were directed to four different inventions, as follows:

Group 1- Claims 1-7 and 13 (Method)

Group 2: Claims 8-12 and 14-18 (Method)

Group 3: Claims 19 and 20 (Apparatus)

Group 4: Claims 21 and 22 (Apparatus)

After contacting the applicant, applicants' attorney elected Group III, without traverse.

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to enter into the record the grounds for issuing the Restriction Requirement.

Preliminary Amendment

The elected Group included only two claims. This present preliminary amendment adds additional claims, each new claim being fully supported by the specification as filed, and encompassed in the elected Group.

New Claims 23-38 ultimately depend from Claim 19.

New Claim 39 is a method claim drafted to include each structural element in Claim 19. Thus, Claim 39 corresponds to a method for using the apparatus of Claim 19. Due to the correspondence between the structural elements of Claim 19 and the steps of Claim 39, it does not appear that the method could be practiced using a different apparatus, or that the apparatus could be used to practice a different method. Thus, the method and apparatus claims should be examined together.

New Claims 40-43 ultimately depend from Claim 39.

New Claim 44 is a system claim based on Claim 19, which recites a processor that implements the measuring element recited in Claim 19. Thus, Claim 44 corresponds to the apparatus

1	of Claim 19 drafted as a system claim, including each element recited in Claim 19. Due to the
2	correspondence between the structural elements of Claims 19 and 44, it appears reasonable for the
3	system and apparatus claims to be examined together.
4	New Claim 45 depends from Claim 44.
5	
6	Respectfully submitted,
7	
8	
9	
10	/mike king/
11	Michael C. King Registration No. 44,832
12	MCK/RMA:elm
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	
20 29	

30